arXiv:math/0102146v2 [math.FA] 15 Dec 2004

Cycles and 1-unconditional matrices

Stefan Neuwirth

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We characterize the 1-unconditional subsets $(\mathrm{e}_{rc})_{(r,c)\in I}$ of the set of elementary matrices in the Schatten-von-Neumann class S^p. The set of couples I must be the set of edges of a bipartite graph without cycles of even length $4 \leqslant l \leqslant p$ if p is an even integer, and without cycles at all if p is a positive real number that is not an even integer. In the latter case, I is even a Varopoulos set of V-interpolation of constant 1. We also study the metric unconditional approximation property for the space S^p_I spanned by $(\mathrm{e}_{rc})_{(r,c)\in I}$ in S^p.

1 Introduction

The starting point for this investigation has been the following isometric question on the Schatten-von-Neumann class S^p.

Question 1.1. Which matrix coefficients of an operator $x \in S^p$ must vanish so that the norm of x does not depend on the argument, or on the sign, of the remaining nonzero matrix coefficients ?

Let C be the set of columns and R be the set of rows for coordinates in the matrix. Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ be the set of matrix coordinates of the nonzero matrix coefficients of x. Question 1.1 describes the notion of a complex, or real, 1-unconditional basic sequence $(\mathrm{e}_{rc})_{(r,c)\in I}$ of elementary matrices in S^p.

By a convexity argument, Question 1.1 is equivalent to the following question on Schur multiplication.

Question 1.2. Which matrix coefficients of an operator $x \in S^p$ must vanish so that for all matrices φ of complex, or real, numbers $\|\varphi * x\| \leqslant \sup |\varphi_{rc}| \, \|x\|$, where $*$ is the entrywise (or Schur or Hadamard) product given by $(\varphi * x)_{rc} = \varphi_{rc} x_{rc}$?

In the case $p = \infty$, Grothendieck's inequality yields an estimation for the norm of Schur multiplication by φ in terms of the projective tensor product $\ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R$: it is equivalent to the supremum of the norm of the tensors whose coefficient matrices are finite submatrices of φ. In the framework of tensor algebras over discrete spaces, Question 1.2 turns out to describe as well the isometric counterpart to Varopoulos' V-Sidon sets as well as to his sets of V-interpolation. The following isometric question has however a different answer.

Question 1.3. Which coefficients of a tensor $u \in \ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R$ must vanish so that the norm of u is the maximal modulus of its coefficients ?

In our answer to Question 1.2, S^p and Schur multiplication are treated as a non commutative analogue to L^p and convolution. The main step is a careful study of the Schatten-von-Neumann norm $\|x\| = \left(\operatorname{tr}(x^*x)^{p/2}\right)^{1/p}$ for p an even integer. The rule of matrix multiplication provides an expression for this norm as a series in the matrix coefficients of x and their complex conjugate, indexed by the p-uples $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p)$ satisfying $(v_{2i-1}, v_{2i}), (v_{2i+1}, v_{2i}) \in I$. Those are best understood as closed walks of length p on the bipartite graph G canonically associated to I: its vertex classes are C and R and its edges are given by the couples in I. A structure theorem for closed walks and a detailed study of the particular case in which G is a cycle yield the two following theorems.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $p \in \,]0, \infty] \setminus \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\}$. *If the sequence of elementary matrices* $(\mathrm{e}_{rc})_{(r,c)\in I}$ *is a real* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in* S^p*, then* G *contains no cycle. In this case,* I *is even a set of* V*-interpolation with constant* 1*: every sequence* $\varphi \in \ell^\infty_I$ *may be interpolated by a tensor* $u \in \ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R$ *such that* $\|u\| = \|\varphi\|$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let* $p \in \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\}$. *The sequence* $(\mathrm{e}_{rc})_{(r,c)\in I}$ *is a complex, or real,* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in* S^p *if and only if* G *contains no cycle of length* $4, 6, \ldots, p$.

If C and R are finite, extremal graphs without cycles of given lengths remain an ongoing area of research in Graph theory.

These results provide a complete description of the situation in which $(\mathrm{e}_{rc})_{(r,c)\in I}$ is a 1-unconditional basis of the space S^p_I it spans in S^p. If this is not the case, S^p_I might still admit some other 1-unconditional basis. This leads to the following more general question.

Question 1.6. For which sets I does S^p_I admit some kind of almost 1-unconditional Schauder decomposition ?

The metric unconditional approximation property (*umap*) provides a formal definition for the object of Question 1.6. We obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.7. *Let* $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\}$. *If* S^p_I *has real (umap), then the distance of any two vertices* $c \in C$ *and* $r \in R$ *is asymptotically infinite in* G*: their distance becomes arbitrarily large by deleting a finite number of edges from* G.

Theorem 1.8. *Let* $p \in \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\}$. *The space* S^p_I *has complex, or real, (umap) if and only if any two vertices at distance* $2j + 1 \leqslant p/2$ *are asymptotically at distance at least* $p - 2j + 1$.

We now turn to a detailed description of this article. In Section 2, we provide tools for the computation of Schur multiplier norms. Section 3 characterizes idempotent Schur multipliers and 0, 1-tensors in $\ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\underset{r}{\otimes}} \ell^\infty_R$ of norm one. In Section 4, we define the complex and real unconditional constants of basic sequences of elementary matrices and show that they are not equal in general. Section 5 looks back on Varopoulos' results about tensor algebras over discrete spaces. Section 6 puts the connection between p-trace norm and closed walks of length p in the concrete form of closed walk relations. In Section 7, we compute the norm of relative Schur multipliers by signs in the case that G is a cycle, and estimate the corresponding unconditional constants. Section 8 is dedicated to a proof of Th. 1.4 and an answer to Question 1.3. Section 9 establishes Th. 1.5. In Section 10, we study the metric unconditional approximation property for spaces S^p_I. The final section provides four kinds of examples: sets obtained by a transfer of n-independent subsets of a discrete abelian group, Hankel sets, Steiner systems and Tits' generalized polygons.

Terminology. C is the set of *columns* and R is the set of *rows*, both finite or countable and if necessary indexed by natural numbers. V, the set of *vertices*, is their disjoint union $C \amalg R$. An *edge* on V is a pair $\{v, w\} \subseteq V$. A *graph* on V is given by a set of edges E. A *bipartite* graph on V with vertex classes C and R has only edges $\{r, c\}$ such that $c \in C$ and $r \in R$ and may therefore be given alternatively by the set of couples $I = \bigl\{(r, c) \in R \times C : \{r, c\} \in E\bigr\}$. Two graphs are *disjoint* if so are the sets of vertices of their edges. I is a *row section* if $(r, c), (r, c') \in I \Rightarrow c = c'$, and a *column section* if $(r, c), (r', c) \in I \Rightarrow r = r'$.

A *walk* of length $s \geqslant 0$ in a graph is a sequence $(v_0, \dots, v_s)$ of $s + 1$ vertices such that $\{v_0, v_1\}, \dots, \{v_{s-1}, v_s\}$ are edges of the graph. A walk is a *path* if its vertices are pairwise distinct. The *distance* of two vertices in a graph is the minimal length of a path in the graph that joins the two vertices; it is infinite if no such path exists. A *closed* walk of length p in a graph is a sequence $(v_1, \dots, v_p)$ of p vertices such that $\{v_1, v_2\}, \dots, \{v_{p-1}, v_p\}, \{v_p, v_1\}$ are edges of the graph. Note that p is necessarily even if the graph is bipartite. A closed walk is a *cycle* if its vertices are pairwise distinct. We take the convention that the first vertex of a closed walk or a cycle on $V = C \amalg R$ is a column vertex: $v_1 \in C$. We shall identify a path and a cycle with their set of edges $\{r, c\}$ or the corresponding set of couples (r, c).

A bipartite graph on V is a *tree* if its vertices may be indexed by a set W of finite words over some set of letters A in the following way:

- $\emptyset \in W$ and every beginning of a word in W is also in W: if $w \in W \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then w is the concatenation $w' {}^\frown a$ of a word $w' \in W$ with a letter $a \in A$;
- words of even (*vs.* odd) length index row (*vs.* column) vertices;
- a pair of vertices is an edge exactly if the length of their indices differs by one.

A *forest* is a union of pairwise disjoint trees; equivalently, it is a cycle free graph.

Notation. $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Given an index set I and $q \in I$, e_q is the sequence defined on I as the indicator function $\chi_{\{q\}}$ of the singleton $\{q\}$.

Let $I = R \times C$ and $q = (r, c)$. Then $\mathrm{e}_q = \mathrm{e}_{rc}$ is the elementary matrix identified with the operator from ℓ^2_C to ℓ^2_R that maps e_c on e_r and all other basis vectors on 0. The *matrix coefficient* at coordinate q of an operator x from ℓ^2_C to ℓ^2_R is $x_q = \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{e}_q^* x$ and its *matrix representation* is $(x_q)_{q\in R\times C} = \sum_{q\in R\times C} x_q \mathrm{e}_q$.

The *Schatten-von-Neumann class* S^p, $0 < p < \infty$, is the space of those compact operators x from ℓ^2_C to ℓ^2_R such that $\|x\|_p^p = \operatorname{tr} |x|^p = \operatorname{tr}(x^*x)^{p/2} < \infty$. S^∞ is the space of compact operators with the operator norm. S^p is a quasi-normed space, and a Banach space if $p \geqslant 1$. The unit ball of a Banach space X is denoted by B_X.

For $I \subseteq R \times C$, the *entry space* S^p_I is the space of those $x \in S^p$ whose *support* $\{q \in R \times C : x_q \neq 0\}$ is a subset of I. S^p_I is also the closed subspace of S^p spanned by $(\mathrm{e}_q)_{q\in I}$.

The S^p-valued Schatten-von-Neumann class $S^p(S^p)$ is the space of those compact operators x from ℓ^2_C to $\ell^2_R(S^p)$ such that $\|x\|_p^p = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{tr} |x|^p) < \infty$, where the inner trace is the S^p-valued analogue of the usual trace x: such operators have an S^p-valued matrix representation. $S^p(S^p)$ can be identified with the space of compact operators x from $\ell^2_C(\ell_2) = \ell_2 \otimes_2 \ell^2_C$ to $\ell^2_R(\ell_2) = \ell_2 \otimes_2 \ell^2_R$ such that $\|x\|_p^p = \operatorname{tr} \otimes \operatorname{tr} |x|^p < \infty$; the matrix coefficient of x at q is then $x_q = (\mathrm{Id}_{S^p} \otimes \operatorname{tr})\big((\mathrm{Id}_{\ell_2} \otimes \mathrm{e}_q^*)x\big)$ and its matrix representation is $\sum_{q\in R\times C} x_q \otimes \mathrm{e}_q$.

A *relative Schur multiplier* on S^p_I is a sequence $\varphi = (\varphi_q)_{q\in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I$ such that the operator M_φ defined by $\mathrm{e}_q \mapsto \varphi_q \mathrm{e}_q$ for $q \in I$ is bounded on S^p_I. The Schur multiplier φ is furthermore *completely bounded* (c.b. for short) on S^p_I if $\mathrm{Id}_{S^p} \otimes M_\varphi$, that is the operator defined by $x_q \mathrm{e}_q \mapsto \varphi_q x_q \mathrm{e}_q$ for $x_q \in S^p$ and $q \in I$, is bounded on $S^p_I(S^p)$ (see [19, Lemma 1.7]). Note that φ is a Schur multiplier on S^∞ if and only if it is a Schur multiplier on the space of bounded operators from ℓ^2_C to ℓ^2_R and that it automatically is c.b. [20, Th. 5.1].

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $u \in X \otimes Y$. Its *projective* tensor norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{X \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y} = \inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|x_j\|\,\|y_j\| : u = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \otimes y_j\right\}$$

and $X \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y$ is the completion of $X \otimes Y$ with respect to this norm. Note that $\ell^n_\infty \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^m_\infty \subset c_0 \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0 \subset \ell_\infty \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell_\infty$. The *injective* tensor norm of u is given by

$$\|u\|_{X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y} = \sup_{(\xi,\eta)\in B_{X^*}\times B_{Y^*}} |\langle \xi \otimes \eta, u\rangle|$$

and $X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y$ is the completion of $X \otimes Y$ with respect to this norm. Note that if X and Y are both finite dimensional, then

$$(X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)^* = X^* \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y^* \quad \text{and} \quad (X \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y)^* = X^* \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y^* \ ;$$

further $(c_0 \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0)^* = \ell_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \ell_1$ and $\ell_\infty \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell_\infty$ is a closed w^*-dense subspace of $(\ell_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \ell_1)^*$. If X is a sequence space on C and Y is a sequence space on R, then the *coefficient* of the tensor u at (r,c) is $\langle \mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r, u\rangle$. Its *support* is the set of coordinates (r,c) of its nonvanishing coefficients.

2 Relative Schur multipliers

The following proposition is a straightforward consequence of [16].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ and φ be a Schur multiplier on S^∞_I with norm D. Then φ is also a c.b. Schur multiplier on S^p_I for every $p \in \,]0,\infty]$, with c.b. norm bounded by D.*

Proof. We may assume $D = 1$. Let $R' \times C'$ be any finite subset of $R \times C$. By [16, Th. 3.2], there exist vectors w_c and v_r of norm at most 1 in a Hilbert space H such that $\varphi_{rc} = \langle w_c, v_r\rangle$ for every $(r,c) \in I \cap R' \times C'$. If we define $W\colon \ell^2_{C'} \to \ell^2_{C'}(H)$ and $V\colon \ell^2_{R'} \to \ell^2_{R'}(H)$ by $W\zeta = (\zeta_c w_c)_{c\in C'}$ and $V\eta = (\eta_r v_r)_{r\in R'}$, then V and W have norm at most 1, and the proposition follows from the factorization

$$M_\varphi x = V^*(x \otimes \mathrm{Id}_H)W$$

for every x with support in $I \cap R' \times C'$. ☐

Remark 2.2. Éric Ricard showed us an elementary proof that a Schur multiplier on S^∞_I is automatically c.b., included here by his kind permission. A Schur multiplier φ is bounded on S^∞_I by a constant D if and only if

$$\forall\, \xi \in B_{S^\infty_I}\ \forall\, \eta \in B_{\ell^2_R}\ \forall\, \zeta \in B_{\ell^2_C} \quad \Bigl|\sum_{(r,c)\in I} \eta_r \varphi_{rc} \xi_{rc} \zeta_c\Bigr| \leqslant D\ . \tag{1}$$

It is furthermore completely bounded on S^∞_I by D if

$$\forall\, x \in B_{S^\infty_I(S^\infty)}\ \forall\, y \in B_{\ell^2_R(\ell_2)}\ \forall\, z \in B_{\ell^2_C(\ell_2)} \quad \Bigl|\sum_{(r,c)\in I} \varphi_{rc} \langle y_r, x_{rc} z_c\rangle\Bigr| \leqslant D\ . \tag{2}$$

Now, given x, y, z as quantified in Ineq. (2), let $\xi_{rc} = \langle y_r/\|y_r\|, x_{rc} z_c/\|z_c\|\rangle$, $\eta_r = \|y_r\|_{\ell_2}$, $\zeta_c = \|z_c\|_{\ell_2}$. Then $\|\eta\|_{\ell^2_R}, \|\zeta\|_{\ell^2_C} \leqslant 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi\| &= \sup\Bigl\{\Bigl|\sum_{(r,c)\in I} \langle \alpha_r y_r/\|y_r\|_{\ell_2}, x_{rc} \beta_c z_c/\|z_c\|_{\ell_2}\rangle\Bigr| : \alpha \in B_{\ell^2_R},\ \beta \in B_{\ell^2_C}\Bigr\}\\ &\leqslant \|x\| \sup_{\alpha \in B_{\ell^2_R}} \bigl\|\bigl(\alpha_r y_r/\|y_r\|_{\ell_2}\bigr)\bigr\|_{\ell^2_R(\ell_2)} \sup_{\beta\in B_{\ell^2_C}} \bigl\|\bigl(\beta_c z_c/\|z_c\|_{\ell_2}\bigr)\bigr\|_{\ell^2_C(\ell_2)} \leqslant 1\ ,\end{aligned}$$

so that Ineq. (1) implies Ineq. (2).

The fact that the canonical basis of an ℓ^2 space is 1-unconditional yields that Schatten-von-Neumann norms are *matrix unconditional* in the terminology of [25]:

$$\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^C\ \forall \eta \in \mathbb{T}^R \quad \Big\| \sum_{(r,c)\in R\times C} \zeta_c \eta_r a_{rc} \mathrm{e}_{rc} \Big\|_p = \Big\| \sum_{(r,c)\in R\times C} a_{rc} \mathrm{e}_{rc} \Big\|_p \tag{3}$$

for every finitely supported sequence of complex or S^p-valued coefficients a_{rc}. This shows that if $\zeta \in \ell^\infty_C$, $\eta \in \ell^\infty_R$, then the c.b. norm of the *elementary* Schur multiplier $(\zeta_c \eta_r)_{(r,c)\in I}$ is bounded by $\|\zeta\|_{\ell^\infty_C} \|\eta\|_{\ell^\infty_R}$ on every S^p_I.

The following proposition relates Fourier multipliers to Herz-Schur multipliers in the fashion of [20, Th. 6.4] and will be very useful in the exact computation of the norm of certain relative Schur multipliers.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $0 < p \leqslant \infty$, let Γ be a finite abelian group and $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$. Let $R = C = \Gamma$ and $I = \{(r,c) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma : r - c \in \Lambda\}$. Let $G = \hat{\Gamma}$, so that Γ is the group of characters on G. Let $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$ be the subspace of ℓ^p_G spanned by Λ. Then, for every sequence φ on Λ, the norm of the relative Fourier multiplier φ (the norm of the linear operator C_φ defined by $C_\varphi \gamma = \varphi_\gamma \gamma$) on $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$ is bounded by the norm of the relative Schur multiplier $\check{\varphi} = (\varphi_{r-c})_{(r,c)\in I}$ on S^p_I, and their c.b. norms are equal.*

Proof. For every $f \in \ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$, consider the multiplication operator T_f on ℓ^2_G defined by $T_f h = fh$. T_f is diagonal for the canonical basis $(\mathrm{e}_g)_{g\in G}$, so that $\|T_f\|_p = \|f\|_{\ell^p_G}$. In the basis Γ, its matrix representation is $T_f = \sum_{(r,c)\in I} \hat{f}(r-c)\mathrm{e}_{rc}$, so that $T_f \in S^p_I$ and $M_{\check{\varphi}} T_f = T_{C_\varphi f}$. The norm of φ on $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$ is therefore the norm of $\check{\varphi}$ on the subspace of Toeplitz matrices in S^p_I. Furthermore, the c.b. norm of φ on $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$ is the norm of the Fourier multiplier φ on $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}(S^p)$ ([19, Prop. 8.1.1]). For all $a_q \in S^p$ and g in G, we have

$$\Big\| \sum_{q\in I} a_q \mathrm{e}_q \Big\|_p = \Big\| \sum_{(r,c)\in I} r(g) c(g)^{-1} a_{rc} \mathrm{e}_{rc} \Big\|_p$$

by matrix unconditionality (Eq. (3)), so that

$$\Big\| \sum_{q\in I} \check{\varphi}_q a_q \mathrm{e}_q \Big\|_p = \Big\| \sum_{\gamma\in\Lambda} \varphi_\gamma \Big(\sum_{\substack{(r,c)\in I \\ r-c=\gamma}} a_{rc} \mathrm{e}_{rc} \Big) \gamma \Big\|_{\ell^p_G(S^p(S^p))} .$$

It remains to note that $S^p(S^p) = S^p(\ell^2_\Gamma(\ell_2))$. □

Éric Ricard proposed the following device for computing the c.b. norm of a Schur multiplier, included here by his kind permission.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $I = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_q)_{q\in I}$ be the matrix representation of a positive operator. Then the c.b. norm of the Schur multiplier φ on S^p is* $\sup_n |\varphi_{nn}|$ *for any $p \in\,]0, \infty]$.*

Proof. If φ is positive, then M_φ is a positive operator on $B(\ell^2)$. Henceforth its norm on $B(\ell^2)$ is attained at Id, and $\|\varphi * \mathrm{Id}\|_\infty = \sup_n |\varphi_{nn}|$. This quantity is furthermore a lower bound on all S^p. □

3 Idempotent Schur multipliers of norm one

A Schur multiplier is *idempotent* if it is the indicator function χ_I of some set $I \subseteq R \times C$; if χ_I is a Schur multiplier on S^p, then it is a projection of S^p onto S^p_I. Idempotent Schur multipliers on S^p and tensors in $\ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R$ with $0, 1$ coefficients of norm 1 may be characterized by the combinatorics of I.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ be nonempty and $0 < p \neq 2 < \infty$. The following are equivalent.*

(*a*) *For every finite rectangle set $R' \times C'$ intersecting I*

$$\Bigl\| \sum_{(r,c) \in I \cap R' \times C'} \mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r \Bigr\|_{\ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R} = 1 \ .$$

(*b*) *S^p_I is completely 1-complemented in S^p.*

(*c*) *S^p_I is 1-complemented in S^p.*

(*d*) *I is a union of pairwise row and column disjoint rectangle sets, i.e. complete bipartite graphs: there are pairwise disjoint sets $R_j \subseteq R$ and pairwise disjoint sets $C_j \subseteq C$ such that $I = \bigcup R_j \times C_j$.*

Proof. $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ is trivial.

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. The c.b. norm of a Schur multiplier φ on S^p is the supremum of the c.b. norm of its restrictions $\varphi' = (\varphi_q)_{q \in R' \times C'}$ to finite rectangle sets $R' \times C'$. Furthermore, the c.b. norm of an elementary Schur multiplier $(\eta_c \zeta_r)_{(r,c) \in R \times C} = \eta \otimes \zeta$ on S^p equals $\|\eta\|_{\ell^\infty_C} \|\zeta\|_{\ell^\infty_R}$.

$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$. If I is not a union of pairwise row and column disjoint rectangle sets, then there are $r_0, r_1 \in R$, $c_0, c_1 \in C$ such that (r_0, c_0), (r_0, c_1), $(r_1, c_0) \in I$ and $(r_1, c_1) \notin I$. Let $x(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be the operator from $\ell^2_{\{c_0, c_1\}}$ to $\ell^2_{\{r_0, r_1\}}$ with matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Its eigenvalues are

$$\frac{1 + t + \sqrt{9 - 2t + t^2}}{2} = 2 + \frac{t}{3} + o(t) \ , \quad \frac{1 + t - \sqrt{9 - 2t + t^2}}{2} = -1 + \frac{2t}{3} + o(t) \ ,$$

so that

$$\|x(t)\|_\infty = 2 + \frac{t}{3} + o(t) \ , \ \|x(t)\|_p^p = 2^p + 1 + \frac{p}{6}(2^p - 4)t + o(t) \quad \text{for } 0 < p < \infty \qquad (4)$$

and therefore $\|P_I x(t)\|_p = \|x(0)\|_p > \|x(t)\|_p$ for some $t \neq 0$ if $p \neq 2$.

$(d) \Rightarrow (a)$. Suppose (d) and let $R' \times C'$ intersect I. Then there are pairwise disjoint sets R_j and pairwise disjoint sets C_j such that $I \cap R' \times C' = R_1 \times C_1 \cup \cdots \cup R_n \times C_n$ and

$$\sum_{(r,c) \in I \cap R' \times C'} \mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{C_j} \otimes \chi_{R_j} = \operatorname*{average}_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \Bigl(\sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j \chi_{C_j} \Bigr) \otimes \Bigl(\sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j \chi_{R_j} \Bigr)$$

which is an average of elementary tensors of norm 1, so that its projective tensor norm is bounded by 1, and actually is equal to 1. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Note that the proof of Prop. 3.1 shows that the norm of a projection $M_{\chi_I}\colon S^\infty \to S^\infty_I$ is either 1 or at least $2/\sqrt{3}$, as

$$\left\|\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right\|_\infty = \sqrt{3}\ , \qquad \left\|\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\|_\infty = 2\ .$$

This is a non commutative analogue to the fact that an idempotent measure on a locally compact abelian group G has either norm 1 or at least $\sqrt{5}/2$ ([24, Th. 3.7.2]). $\|M_{\chi_I}\|$ actually equals $2/\sqrt{3}$ for $I = \{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0)\}$, as shown in [12, Lemma 3]. In fact, the following decomposition holds:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{e}_0 \otimes \mathrm{e}_0 + \mathrm{e}_0 \otimes \mathrm{e}_1 + \mathrm{e}_1 \otimes \mathrm{e}_0 = \\ &\big((\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi/12}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/4}) \otimes (\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi/12}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/4}) + (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/12}, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi/4}) \otimes (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/12}, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi/4})\big)/\sqrt{3}\ .\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. The equivalence of (c) with (d) has been obtained independently by Banks and Harcharras [1].

4 Unconditional basic sequences

Definition 4.1. Let $0 < p \leqslant \infty$ and $I \subseteq R \times C$. Let $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{T}$ (vs. $\mathbb{S} = \{-1, 1\}$).

(a) I is an *unconditional basic sequence* in S_p if there is a constant D s.t.

$$\Big\|\sum_{q\in I} \epsilon_q a_q \mathrm{e}_q\Big\|_p \leqslant D \Big\|\sum_{q\in I} a_q \mathrm{e}_q\Big\|_p \tag{5}$$

for every choice of signs $\epsilon_q \in \mathbb{S}$ and every finitely supported sequence of complex coefficients a_q. Its complex (*vs.* real) *unconditional constant* is the least such D.

(b) I is a *completely* unconditional basic sequence in S_p if there is a constant D s.t. (5) holds for every choice of signs $\epsilon_q \in \mathbb{S}$ and every finitely supported sequence of operator coefficients $a_q \in S^p$. Its complex (*vs.* real) *complete* unconditional constant is the least such D.

(c) I is a complex (*vs.* real, complex completely, real completely) 1-*unconditional* basic sequence in S_p if its complex (*vs.* real, complex complete, real complete) unconditional constant is 1: Inequality (5) turns into the equality

$$\Big\|\sum_{q\in I} \epsilon_q a_q \mathrm{e}_q\Big\|_p = \Big\|\sum_{q\in I} a_q \mathrm{e}_q\Big\|_p\ . \tag{6}$$

If Inequality (5) holds for every real choice of signs, then it also holds for every complex choice of signs at the cost of replacing D by $D\pi/2$ (see [26]), so that there is no need to distinguish between complex and real unconditional basic sequences.

The notions defined in (a) and (b) are called $\sigma(p)$ and complete $\sigma(p)$ sets in [8, §4] and [9] (see also the survey [21, § 9]). The notions defined in (c) are their isometric counterparts.

By [25, proof of Cor. 4], the real unconditional constant of any basis of S^p_I cannot be lower than a fourth of the real unconditional constant of I in S^p.

Example 4.2. A single column $R \times \{c\}$, a single row $\{r\} \times C$, the diagonal set $\{(\operatorname{row} n, \operatorname{col} n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ if R and C are copies of $\mathbb{N}$, are 1-unconditional basic sequences in all S^p. In fact, every column section and every row section (this is the terminology of [30, Def. 4.3]) is a 1-unconditional basic sequence; note that the length of every path in the corresponding graph is at most 2.

Note that the set I is a (completely) 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p if and only if the set of relative Schur multipliers by signs on S^p_I define (complete) isometries. This yields by Cor. 2.1

Proposition 4.3. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ and $0 < p \leqslant \infty$. If I is a real* (*vs. complex*) 1*-unconditional basic sequence in S^∞, then I is also a real* (*vs. complex*) *completely* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*

Example 4.4. If $R = C = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $I = R \times C$, then the complex unconditional constant of the basis of elementary matrices in S^p is $n^{|1/2-1/p|}$ and coincides with its complete unconditional constant (see [19, Lemma 8.1.5]). This is also the real unconditional constant if $n = 2^k$ is a power of 2 as it is then the norm of the Schur multiplier with matrix the kth tensor power $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)^{\otimes k}$ (the kth Walsh matrix) on S^p. Let us now show that if $n = 3$, the real unconditional constant of the basis of elementary matrices in S^∞ is $5/3$ and differs from its complex unconditional constant, $\sqrt{3}$. In fact, because the canonical bases of ℓ^2_C and ℓ^2_R are symmetric, the norm of a Schur multiplier by real signs turns out to equal the norm of one of the three following Schur multipliers:

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}.$$

The first norm is 1, the second one is $\sqrt{2}$ by comparison with the Schur multiplier $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and the third one is by Prop. 2.3 the norm of the Fourier multiplier $\varphi = (-1, 1, 1)$ on ℓ^∞_G with G the group of third roots of unity: as this multiplier acts by convolution with $f = (1/3, -2/3, -2/3)$, its norm is $\|f\|_{\ell^1_G} = 5/3$. This may also be seen using Prop. 2.4, as we have the following decomposition in positive matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3\\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3\\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}4/3 & -2/3 & -2/3\\ -2/3 & 4/3 & -2/3\\ -2/3 & -2/3 & 4/3\end{pmatrix}.$$

By complex interpolation, the real unconditional constant of the basis of elementary matrices is in fact strictly less than its complex counterpart in all S^p.

5 Varopoulos' characterization of unconditional matrices in S^∞

Our results may be seen as the isometric counterpart to results by Varopoulos [30] on tensor algebras over discrete spaces and their generalization to S^p. He characterized unconditional basic sequences of elementary matrices in S^∞ in his study of the projective tensor product $c_0 \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0$. We gather up his results in the next theorem, as they are difficult to extract from the literature.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$. The following are equivalent.*

- (a) *I is an unconditional basic sequence in S^∞.*
- (b) *I is an interpolation set for Schur multipliers on S^∞: every bounded sequence on I is the restriction of a Schur multiplier on S^∞.*
- (c) *I is a V-Sidon set as defined in [30, Def. 4.1]: every null sequence on I is the restriction of the sequence of coefficients of a tensor in $c_0(C) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0(R)$.*
- (d) *The coefficients of every tensor in $\ell^1_C \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \ell^1_R$ with support in I form an absolutely convergent series.*
- (e) *$(z_c z_r)_{(r,c)\in I}$ is a Sidon set in the dual of $\mathbb{T}^{C \amalg R}$, that is an unconditional basic sequence in $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}^{C\amalg R})$.*
- (f) *There is a constant λ such that for all $R' \subseteq R$ and $C' \subseteq C$ with n elements $\#[I \cap R' \times C'] \leqslant \lambda n$.*
- (g) *I is a finite union of forests.*
- (h) *I is a finite union of row sections and column sections.*
- (i) *Every bounded sequence supported by I is a Schur multiplier on S^∞.*

Sketch of proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. If (a) holds, every sequence of signs $\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^I$ is a Schur multiplier on S^∞_I. By a convexity argument, this implies that every bounded sequence is a Schur multiplier on S^∞_I, which may be extended to a Schur multiplier on S^∞ with the same norm by [16, Cor. 3.3].

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ holds by Grothendieck's inequality (see [20, § 5]) and an approximation argument.

(d) is but the formulation dual to (c) (see [28, § 6.2]).

$(d) \Rightarrow (e)$. A computation yields

$$\Big\| \sum_{(r,c)\in I} \varphi_{rc} \mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r \Big\|_{\ell^1_C \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \ell^1_R} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{T}^{C\amalg R}} \Big| \sum_{(r,c)\in I} \varphi_{rc} z_c z_r \Big| . \tag{7}$$

$(e) \Rightarrow (f)$ is [30, Th. 4.2]. (The proof can be found in [28, § 6.3] and in [29, § 5].)

$(f) \Rightarrow (g)$, $(f) \Rightarrow (h)$ can be found in [29, Th. 6.1].

$(g) \Rightarrow (h)$. In fact, a forest is a bisection in the terminology of [30, Def. 4.3]: it is the union of a row section I_R with a column section I_C. It suffices to prove this for a tree: let the vertices of its edges be indexed by words as described in the Terminology; then let I_R be the set of its elements of the form $(v_{w^\frown a}, v_w)$ with w of odd length and a a letter, and let I_C be the set of its elements of the form $(v_w, v_{w^\frown a})$ with w of even length and a a letter.

$(h) \Rightarrow (i)$ is [29, Th. 4.5]. Note that row sections and column sections form 1-unconditional basic sequences in S^∞ and are 1-complemented in S^∞ by Proposition 3.1.

$(i) \Rightarrow (a)$ follows from the open mapping theorem. □

6 Closed walk relations

We now introduce and study the combinatorial objects that we need in order to analyze the expansion of the function defined by

$$\Phi_I(\epsilon, a) = \Big\| \sum_{q\in I} \epsilon_q a_q \mathrm{e}_q \Big\|_p^p \tag{8}$$

for $I \subseteq R \times C$, a positive even integer $p = 2k$, signs $\epsilon \in \mathbb{T}$ and coefficients $a_q \in \mathbb{C}$, of which only a finite number are nonzero. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_I(\epsilon, a) &= \operatorname{tr}\Big(\sum_{(r,c),(r',c')\in I} (\epsilon_{rc} a_{rc} \mathrm{e}_{rc})^* (\epsilon_{r'c'} a_{r'c'} \mathrm{e}_{r'c'}) \Big)^k \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \sum_{\substack{(r_1,c_1),(r'_1,c'_1),\ldots,\\ (r_k,c_k),(r'_k,c'_k)\in I}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\epsilon^{-1}_{r_i c_i} \overline{a_{r_i c_i}} \mathrm{e}_{c_i r_i})(\epsilon_{r'_i c'_i} a_{r'_i c'_i} \mathrm{e}_{r'_i c'_i}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(r_1,c_1),(r_1,c_2),\ldots,\\ (r_k,c_k),(r_k,c_{k+1})\in I}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon^{-1}_{r_i c_i} \epsilon_{r_i c_{i+1}} \overline{a_{r_i c_i}} a_{r_i c_{i+1}} \quad (\text{where } c_{k+1} = c_1) .
\end{aligned}$$

The latter sum runs over all closed walks $(c_1, r_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k, r_k)$ of length p in the graph I. Its terms have the form $\epsilon^{\beta-\alpha} \overline{a}^\alpha a^\beta$ in multinomial notation. The attempt to describe those couples (α, β) that effectively arise in this expansion yields the following definition.

Definition 6.1. Let $p = 2k \geqslant 0$ be an even integer and $I \subseteq R \times C$.

(*i*) Let $\mathrm{A}_k^I = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I : \sum_{q \in I} \alpha_q = k\}$ and set

$$\mathrm{P}_k^I = \big\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{A}_k^I \times \mathrm{A}_k^I : \forall\, r\ \textstyle\sum_c \alpha_{rc} = \sum_c \beta_{rc} \text{ and } \forall\, c\ \sum_r \alpha_{rc} = \sum_r \beta_{rc}\big\}.$$

(*ii*) Two couples $(\alpha^1, \beta^1) \in \mathrm{P}_{k_1}^I$, $(\alpha^2, \beta^2) \in \mathrm{P}_{k_2}^I$ are *row and column disjoint* if $k_1, k_2 \geqslant 1$ and

$$\forall\, (r, c), (r', c) \in I \quad \alpha^1_{rc}\alpha^2_{r'c} = 0\ , \qquad \forall\, (r, c), (r, c') \in I \quad \alpha^1_{rc}\alpha^2_{rc'} = 0\ .$$

(*iii*) The set $\mathscr{P}_k^I$ of *closed walk relations* of length p in I is the subset of those $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{P}_k^I$ that cannot be decomposed into the sum of two row and column disjoint couples.

Example 6.2. Let $R = C = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $I = R \times C$. The couple $(\mathrm{e}_{00} + \mathrm{e}_{11} + \mathrm{e}_{22} + \mathrm{e}_{33}, \mathrm{e}_{01} + \mathrm{e}_{10} + \mathrm{e}_{23} + \mathrm{e}_{32})$ is an element of $\mathrm{P}_4^I \setminus \mathscr{P}_4^I$: it is the sum of the two row and column disjoint closed walk relations $(\mathrm{e}_{00} + \mathrm{e}_{11}, \mathrm{e}_{01} + \mathrm{e}_{10}), (\mathrm{e}_{22} + \mathrm{e}_{33}, \mathrm{e}_{23} + \mathrm{e}_{32})$.

The next proposition shows that, for our purpose, closed walk relations describe entirely closed walks.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $p = 2k \geqslant 0$ be an even integer and $I \subseteq R \times C$. The mapping $P = (c_1, r_1, c_2, r_2, \ldots, c_k, r_k) \in (C \times R)^k \mapsto (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{A}_k^I \times \mathrm{A}_k^I$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_q &= \#\big[i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} : (r_i, c_i) = q\big] \\ \beta_q &= \#\big[i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} : (r_i, c_{i+1}) = q\big] \quad (\textit{where } c_{k+1} = c_1) \end{aligned}$$

is a surjection of the set of closed walks in the graph I of length p onto the set $\mathscr{P}_k^I$ of closed walk relations of length p in I. We shall write $P \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ and call $n_{\alpha\beta}$ the number of closed walks of length p mapped on (α, β).

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^I$. If $k = 0$, the empty closed walk suits. Suppose $k \geqslant 1$; we have to find a closed walk of length p that is mapped on (α, β). Consider a walk $(c_1, r_1, c_2, r_2, \ldots, c_j, r_j, c_{j+1})$ in I such that $\alpha^1_q = \#\{i : (r_i, c_i) = q\} \leqslant \alpha_q$ and $\beta^1_q = \#\{i : (r_i, c_{i+1}) = q\} \leqslant \beta_q$ for every $q \in R \times C$, and furthermore j is maximal. We claim (*a*) that $c_{j+1} = c_1$ and (*b*) that $j = k$. Let $(\alpha^2, \beta^2) = (\alpha, \beta) - (\alpha^1, \beta^1)$.

(*a*). If $c_{j+1} \neq c_1$, then $\sum_r \alpha^2_{rc_{j+1}} = \sum_r \beta^2_{rc_{j+1}} + 1 \geqslant 1$. Thus there is r_{j+1} such that $\alpha^2_{r_{j+1}c_{j+1}} \geqslant 1$. But then $\sum_c \beta^2_{r_{j+1}c} = \sum_c \alpha^2_{r_{j+1}c} \geqslant 1$ and there is c_{j+2} such that $\beta^2_{r_{j+1}c_{j+2}} \geqslant 1$: j is not maximal.

(*b*). Suppose $j < k$. Then $(\alpha^1, \beta^1) \in \mathrm{P}_j^I$ and $(\alpha^2, \beta^2) \in \mathrm{P}_{k-j}^I$. By hypothesis, they are not row and column disjoint: there are r, c, c' such that $\alpha^1_{rc}\alpha^2_{rc'} \geqslant 1$ or r, r', c such that $\alpha^1_{rc}\alpha^2_{r'c} \geqslant 1$. By interchanging R and C, by relabeling the vertices, we may suppose without loss of generality that for $r'_1 = r_j$ there is c'_1 such that $\alpha^2_{r'_1c'_1} \geqslant 1$. Then there is c'_2 such that $\beta^2_{r'_1c'_2} \geqslant 1$. By the argument used in Claim (a), there is a closed walk $(c'_1, r'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_{j'}, r'_{j'})$ such that $\#\{i : (r'_i, c'_i) = q\} \leqslant \alpha^2_q$ and $\#\{i : (r'_i, c'_{i+1}) = q\} \leqslant \beta^2_q$ (with $c'_{j'+1} = c'_1$). Then the closed walk

$$(c_1, r_1, c_2, r_2, \dots, c_j, r_j, c'_2, r'_2, \dots, c'_{j'}, r'_{j'}, c'_1, r'_1)$$

shows that j is not maximal. □

Example 6.4. Let $I = R \times C = \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$. The closed walk relation $(\mathrm{e}_{00} + \mathrm{e}_{11}, \mathrm{e}_{01} + \mathrm{e}_{10}) \in \mathscr{P}^I_2$ has two preimages by the surjection of Prop. 6.3: the two cycles (col 0, row 0, col 1, row 1) and (col 1, row 1, col 0, row 0). The closed walk relation $(2\mathrm{e}_{00} + 2\mathrm{e}_{01}, 2\mathrm{e}_{00} + 2\mathrm{e}_{01})$ has six preimages: closed walk (col 1, row 0, col 1, row 0, col 0, row 0, col 0, row 0) and three other like ones, (col 1, row 0, col 0, row 0, col 1, row 0, col 0, row 0) and another like one.

We are now in position to state the following theorem, a matrix counterpart to the computation presented in [13, Prop. 2.5(ii)].

Theorem 6.5. *Let $p = 2k$ be a positive even integer and $I \subseteq R \times C$.*

(a) *The function Φ_I in Eq. (8) has the expansion*

$$\Phi_I(\epsilon, a) = \mathrm{tr}\Big|\sum_{q \in I} \epsilon_q a_q \mathrm{e}_q\Big|^p = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathscr{P}^I_k} n_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\beta-\alpha} \overline{a}^\alpha a^\beta \ , \tag{9}$$

where $n_{\alpha\beta} \geqslant 1$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathscr{P}^I_k$.

(b) *If the a_q are coefficients in S^p, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_I(\epsilon, a) &= \mathrm{tr}\Big(\mathrm{tr}\Big|\sum_{q \in I} \epsilon_q a_q \mathrm{e}_q\Big|^p\Big) \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathscr{P}^I_k} \epsilon^{\beta-\alpha} \sum_{(c_1, r_1, \dots, c_k, r_k) \sim (\alpha,\beta)} \prod_{i=1}^k a^*_{r_i c_i} a_{r_i c_{i+1}} \text{ (with } c_{k+1} = c_1) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Proof. This follows from Def. 6.1 and Prop. 6.3. □

Note that the the edges of a closed walk $P \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ are precisely those $\{r, c\}$ such that $\alpha_{rc} + \beta_{rc} \geqslant 1$. P is a cycle if and only if has not length 0 or 2 and $\sum_r \alpha_{rc} \leqslant 1$ for all c and $\sum_c \alpha_{rc} \leqslant 1$ for all r. We now show how to decompose closed walks into cycles.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $P = (c_1, r_1, c_2, r_2, \dots, c_k, r_k) \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ be a closed walk.*

(a) *If $r_i = r_j$ (vs. $c_i = c_j$) for some $i \neq j$, then P is the juxtaposition of two nonempty closed walks $P_1 \sim (\alpha^1, \beta^1)$ and $P_2 \sim (\alpha^2, \beta^2)$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha^1, \beta^1) + (\alpha^2, \beta^2)$ and $\sum_c \alpha^1_{r_i c}$, $\sum_c \alpha^2_{r_i c} \geqslant 1$ (vs. $\sum_r \alpha^1_{r c_i}$, $\sum_r \alpha^2_{r c_i} \geqslant 1$.)*

(*b*) *P is the juxtaposition of closed walks $P_j \sim (\alpha^j,\beta^j)$ such that $\sum_r \alpha^j_{rc} \in \{0,1\}$ for all c, $\sum_c \alpha^j_{rc} \in \{0,1\}$ for all r and $(\alpha,\beta) = \sum(\alpha^j,\beta^j)$.*

(*c*) *There are cycles $P_j \sim (\alpha^j,\beta^j)$ and γ such that $(\alpha,\beta) = (\gamma,\gamma) + \sum(\alpha^j,\beta^j)$.*

Proof. (*a*). If $r_i = r_j$ for $i < j$, we may suppose $j = k$: consider then $P_1 = (c_1, r_1, \ldots, c_i, r_i)$ and $P_2 = (c_{i+1}, r_{i+1}, \ldots, c_k, r_k)$. If $c_i = c_j$ for $i < j$, we may suppose $i = 1$: consider then $P_1 = (c_1, r_1, \ldots, c_{j-1}, r_{j-1})$ and $P_2 = (c_j, r_j, \ldots, c_k, r_k)$.

(*b*). Use (*a*) in a maximality argument.

(*c*). Note that the closed walks P_j in (*b*) are either cycles or of length 2; in the latter case $P_j = q \sim (\mathrm{e}_q, \mathrm{e}_q)$ for some $q \in I$. ☐

7 Schur multipliers on a cycle

We can realize a cycle of even length $2s$, $s \geqslant 2$, in the following convenient way. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$. Then the adjacency relation of integers modulo s turns Γ into the cycle $(0, 1, \ldots, s-1)$ of length s. We double this cycle into the bipartite cycle $(\operatorname{col} 0, \operatorname{row} 0, \operatorname{col} 1, \operatorname{row} 1, \ldots, \operatorname{col} s-1, \operatorname{row} s-1)$ on $\Gamma \amalg \Gamma$, corresponding to the set of couples $I = \{(i,i), (i,i+1) : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma$.

Γ is the group dual to $G = \hat{\Gamma} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^s = 1\}$. We shall consider the space $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$ spanned by $\Lambda = \{1, z\}$ in ℓ^p_G, where z is the identical function on G: its norm is given by $\|a + bz\|_{\ell^p_G} = \bigl(\sum_{z^s=1} |a + bz|^p\bigr)^{1/p}$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{T}^I$ be a Schur multiplier by signs on S^p_I.*

(*a*) *ϵ has the same norm as the Schur multiplier $\hat{\epsilon}$ given by $\hat{\epsilon}_q = 1$ for $q \neq (s-1, 0)$ and $\hat{\epsilon}_{s-1,0} = \overline{\epsilon_{00}}\epsilon_{01} \ldots \overline{\epsilon_{s-1,s-1}}\epsilon_{s-1,0}$.*

(*b*) *ϵ has the same norm as $\check{\epsilon}$ given by $\check{\epsilon}_{ii} = 1$ and $\check{\epsilon}_{i,i+1} = \vartheta$ with $\vartheta^s = \hat{\epsilon}_{s-1,0}$.*

(*c*) *The norm of ϵ on S^p_I is bounded below by the norm of the relative Fourier multiplier $\mu : a + bz \mapsto a + \vartheta bz$ on $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$; their c.b. norms are equal.*

(*d*) *The norm of ϵ on S^1_I and on S^∞_I is equal to the norm of μ on $\ell^1_{G,\Lambda}$ and on $\ell^\infty_{G,\Lambda}$: this norm is $\max_{z^s=-1} |\vartheta + z|/|1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/s}|$.*

(*e*) *ϵ defines an isometry on S^p_I if and only if $\overline{\epsilon_{00}}\epsilon_{01} \ldots \overline{\epsilon_{s-1,s-1}}\epsilon_{s-1,0} = 1$ or $p/2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s-1\}$.*

Proof. (*a*) and (*b*) follow from the matrix unconditionality of Schatten-von-Neumann norms (see Eq. (3)). (*c*) follows from Prop. 2.3.

(*d*). Let $a = 1$ if s is even and $a = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/s}$ if s is odd. Then $\|a+z\|_{\ell^1_G} = 2\cot(\pi/2s)$ and $\|a+\vartheta z\|_{\ell^1_G} = \max_{z^s=1} |\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/s} + \vartheta z|/\sin(\pi/2s)$ and this yields a lower bound on the norm of μ on $\ell^1_{G,\Lambda}$.

The c.b. norm of μ on $\ell^\infty_{G,\Lambda}$ is equal to its norm and thus to the maximum of $f_\vartheta(r,t) = \|r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t} + \vartheta z\|_\infty / \|r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t} + z\|_\infty$ for $r \geqslant 0$ and $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$. As $f_\vartheta = f_{\omega\vartheta}$ if $\omega^s = 1$ and $f_{\bar\vartheta}(r,t) = f_\vartheta(r,-t)$, we may suppose that $u = \arg\vartheta \in [0, \pi/s]$. We may also restrict our study to $t \in [u/2 - \pi/s, u/2 + \pi/s]$ and further to $t \in [u/2, u/2 + \pi/s]$, as $f_\vartheta(r,t) \leqslant 1$ if $t \in [u/2 - \pi/s, u/2]$. In that case $f_\vartheta(r,t) = |r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t} + \vartheta| / |r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\min(t, 2\pi/s - t)} + 1|$. It turns out that $f_\vartheta(r,t)$ is maximal if $r = 1$ and, as $f_\vartheta(1,t) = \cos\bigl((u-t)/2\bigr) / \cos\bigl(\min(t/2, \pi/s - t/2)\bigr)$ is increasing for $t \in [u/2, \pi/s]$ and decreasing for $t \in [\pi/s, u/2 + \pi/s]$, this maximum is $f_\vartheta(1, \pi/s)$.

(e). If p is not an even integer and $\vartheta^s \neq 1$, then μ is not an isometry on $\ell^p_{G,\Lambda}$: otherwise the functions z and ϑz would have the same distribution by the Plotkin-Rudin Equimeasurability Theorem [23, Th. I].

If $p \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2s-2\}$, then I contains no cycle of length $l \leqslant p$, so that by Prop. 6.6(c), every closed walk $P \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies $\alpha = \beta$ and the function $\Phi_I(\epsilon, a)$ of Eq. (9) is constant in ϵ.

If $p \in \{2s, 2s+2, \dots\}$, the closed walk relation

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \Bigl(\sum_{i \in \Gamma} \mathrm{e}_{ii}, \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \mathrm{e}_{i,i+1}\Bigr) + (p/2 - s)(\mathrm{e}_{00}, \mathrm{e}_{00})$$

satisfies $n_{\alpha\beta} \geqslant 1$ by Prop. 6.3. Then the coefficient of $\Phi_I(\epsilon, a)$ in $\bar a^\alpha a^\beta$ equals $n_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\epsilon_{00}}\epsilon_{01} \dots \overline{\epsilon_{s-1,s-1}}\epsilon_{s-1,0}$ and must equal the same quantity with ϵ replaced by 1 if ϵ defines an isometry on S^p_I. ☐

Remark 7.2. See [11, p. 245] for a similar application of the Plotkin-Rudin Equimeasurability Theorem in (e).

The real unconditional constant of I is therefore the norm of $\check\epsilon$ with $\vartheta = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/s}$, and the complex unconditional constant is the maximum of the norm of $\check\epsilon$ for $\arg\vartheta \in [0, \pi/s]$ (note that the Schur multipliers ϵ and $\bar\epsilon = (\overline{\epsilon_q})_{q \in I}$ have the same norm on S^p_I). This yields

Corollary 7.3. *Let $0 < p \leqslant \infty$ and $s \geqslant 2$. Let I be the cycle of length $2s$.*

- (a) *I is a real 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p if and only if $p \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2s-2\}$.*
- (b) *The real and complex unconditional constants of I in the spaces S^1 and S^∞ equal $\sec \pi/2s$.*

8 1-unconditional matrices in S^p, p not an even integer

We now state the announced isometric counterpart to Varopoulos' characterization of unconditional matrices in S^∞ (Section 5) and its generalization to S^p for p not an even integer.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ be nonempty and $p \in \,]0,\infty] \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent.*

- (a) *I is a complex completely 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*
- (b) *I is a complex 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*
- (c) *I is a real 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*
- (d) *I is a forest.*
- (e) *For each $\epsilon \in \mathbb{T}^I$ there are $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^C$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{T}^R$ such that $\epsilon_{rc} = \zeta(c)\eta(r)$ for all $(r,c) \in I$.*
- (f) *For each $\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^I$ there are $\zeta \in \{-1,1\}^C$ and $\eta \in \{-1,1\}^R$ such that $\epsilon_{rc} = \zeta(c)\eta(r)$ for all $(r,c) \in I$.*
- (g) *I is a set of V-interpolation of constant 1: for all $\varphi \in \ell^\infty_I$*
$$\inf\Big\{\Big\|\sum_{(r,c)\in R\times C} \tilde\varphi_{rc}\mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r\Big\|_{\ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R} : \tilde\varphi|_I = \varphi\Big\} = \sup_{q\in I} |\varphi_q| \;. \tag{11}$$
- (h) *I is a V-Sidon set of constant 1: for all $\varphi \in c_0(I)$*
$$\inf\Big\{\Big\|\sum_{(r,c)\in R\times C} \tilde\varphi_{rc}\mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r\Big\|_{c_0(C) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0(R)} : \tilde\varphi|_I = \varphi\Big\} = \sup_{q\in I} |\varphi_q| \;. \tag{12}$$
- (i) *For every tensor $u = \sum_{(r,c)\in I} \varphi_{rc}\mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r$ in $\ell^1_C \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \ell^1_R$ with support in I we have $\|u\|_{\ell^1_C \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \ell^1_R} = \sum_{(r,c)\in I} |\varphi_{rc}|$.*
- (j) *$(z_c z_r)_{(r,c)\in I}$ is a Sidon set of constant 1 in the dual of $\mathbb{T}^{C \amalg R}$, that is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}^{C\amalg R})$.*
- (k) *For all $R' \subseteq R$ and $C' \subseteq C$ with $k \geqslant 1$ elements $\#[I \cap R' \times C'] \leqslant 2k-1$.*
- (l) *I is an isometric interpolation set for Schur multipliers on S^∞: every $\varphi \in \ell^\infty_I$ is the restriction of a Schur multiplier on S^∞ with norm $\|M_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_{\ell^\infty_I}$.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$ is trivial.

$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$. Suppose that I contains a cycle $(c_0, r_0, \ldots, c_{s-1}, r_{s-1})$ with $s \geqslant 2$. Cor. 7.3(a) shows that I is not a real 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.

$(d) \Leftrightarrow (k)$. A tree on $2k$ vertices has exactly $2k-1$ edges, so that a forest I satisfies (k). Conversely, a cycle of length $2s$ is a graph with s row vertices, s column vertices and $2s$ edges.

$(d) \Rightarrow (e)$. Let I be a tree and index the vertices of its edges by words $w \in W$ as described in the Terminology, so that I is a set of form

$$\bigl\{(v_\emptyset, v_a),\, (v_{a^\frown b}, v_a),\, (v_{a^\frown b}, v_{a^\frown b^\frown c}),\, \dots\bigr\} \quad \text{with } \emptyset, a, a^\frown b, a^\frown b^\frown c, \dots \in W\ .$$

Define inductively η and ζ: let $\eta(v_\emptyset) = 1$; suppose that η and ζ have been defined for all v_w such that the length of $w \in W$ is at most $2n$. We then set for all words w of length $2n$ and all letters a, b such that $w, w^\frown a, w^\frown a^\frown b \in W$:

$$\zeta(v_{w^\frown a}) = \epsilon(v_w, v_{w^\frown a})/\eta(v_w)\ , \quad \eta(v_{w^\frown a^\frown b}) = \epsilon(v_{w^\frown a^\frown b}, v_{w^\frown a})/\zeta(v_{w^\frown a})\ .$$

If I is a union of pairwise disjoint trees, we may define η and ζ on each tree separately; we may finally extend η to R and ζ to C in an arbitrary manner.

$(d) \Rightarrow (f)$ may be proved as $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$.

$(f) \Rightarrow (c)$. If (f) holds, then every Schur multiplier by signs $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^I$ is simple in the sense that $M_\epsilon x = D_\eta x D_\zeta$ with D_ζ (*vs.* D_η) the diagonal operator on ℓ^2_C (*vs.* ℓ^2_R) of multiplication by ζ (*vs.* η), so that the c.b. norm of M_ϵ on any S^p_I is $\|\zeta\|_{\ell^\infty_C}\|\eta\|_{\ell^\infty_R} = 1$.

$(e) \Rightarrow (g)$. If (e) holds, every $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^I \subseteq \ell^\infty_I$ may be extended to an elementary tensor $\zeta \otimes \eta$ of norm 1. (g) follows because every element of ℓ^∞_I with norm 1 is the half sum of two elements of $\mathbb{T}^I$: note that $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t}\cos u = \bigl(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t+u)} + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-u)}\bigr)/2$.

$(g) \Rightarrow (h)$. It suffices to check Equality (12) for φ with support contained in a finite rectangle set $R' \times C'$. As $\ell^\infty_{C'} \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_{R'}$ is 1-complemented in $\ell^\infty_C \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell^\infty_R$, Eq. (11) yields Eq. (12).

$(h) \Leftrightarrow (i)$ because they are dual statements.

$(i) \Leftrightarrow (j)$. Use Equality (7).

$(h) \Rightarrow (l)$ may be deduced by the argument of Prop. 3.1$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$.

$(l) \Rightarrow (a)$. Taking sign sequences $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^I$ in (l) shows that all relative Schur multipliers by signs on S^∞_I define isometries. Apply Prop. 4.3. ☐

Remark 8.2. The equivalence of (e) with (j) may also be shown as a consequence of the characterization of Sidon sets of constant 1 in [3].

Let us now answer Question 1.3.

Corollary 8.3. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$. The following are equivalent.*

- (a) *For all $\varphi \in c_0(I)$ one has $\bigl\|\sum_{(r,c)\in I} \varphi_{rc}\mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r\bigr\|_{c_0(C)\overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0(R)} = \sup_{q\in I}|\varphi_q|$.*
- (b) *There are pairwise disjoint sets $R_j \subseteq R$ and pairwise disjoint sets $C_j \subseteq C$ such that R_j or C_j is a singleton for each j and $I = \bigcup R_j \times C_j$.*
- (c) *I is a union of pairwise disjoint star graphs: every path in I has length at most 2.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ follows from Prop. 3.1$(a) \Rightarrow (d)$ and Th. 8.1$(g) \Rightarrow (d)$.

$(b) \Leftrightarrow (c)$. (b) holds if and only if $(r,c),(r',c),(r,c') \in I \Rightarrow (r = r'$ or $c = c')$ and therefore if and only if (c) holds.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Suppose (b) and let $\varphi \in c_0(I)$. Let $\alpha_j = \sup_{(r,c)\in R_j\times C_j} |\varphi_{rc}|^{1/2}$. If $\alpha_j = 0$, let us define $\varrho^j = 0$ and $\gamma^j = 0$. Otherwise, if R_j is a singleton $\{r\}$, let us define $\varrho^j = \alpha_j \mathrm{e}_r$ and γ^j by $\gamma^j_c = \varphi_{rc}/\alpha_j$ if $c \in C_j$ and $\gamma^j_c = 0$ otherwise. Otherwise, C_j is a singleton $\{c\}$ and we define $\gamma^j = \alpha_j \mathrm{e}_c$ and ϱ^j by $\varrho^j_r = \varphi_{rc}/\alpha_j$ if $r \in R_j$ and $\varrho^j_r = 0$ otherwise. Note that the γ^j have pairwise disjoint support and are null sequences, as well as the ϱ^j. Then

$$\sum_{(r,c)\in I} \varphi_{rc}\mathrm{e}_c \otimes \mathrm{e}_r = \sum_j \gamma^j \otimes \varrho^j = \operatorname*{average}_{\epsilon_j=\pm 1} \Bigl(\sum_j \epsilon_j \gamma^j\Bigr) \otimes \Bigl(\sum_j \epsilon_j \varrho^j\Bigr)$$

is an average of elementary tensors in $c_0(C) \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} c_0(R)$ of norm $\sup_{q\in I} |\varphi_q|$, so that this average is also bounded by this norm, which obviously is a lower bound. ☐

9 1-unconditional matrices in S^p, p an even integer

Let us now prove Theorem 1.5 as a consequence of Theorem 6.5 together with Proposition 6.6(c).

Theorem 9.1. *Let $I \subseteq R\times C$ and $p = 2k$ a positive even integer. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a) *I is a complex completely 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*
- (b) *I is a complex 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*
- (c) *For every finite subset $F \subseteq I$ there is an operator $x \in S^p$, whose support S contains F, such that $\left\|\sum \epsilon_q x_q \mathrm{e}_q\right\|_p$ does not depend on the complex choice of signs $\epsilon \in \mathbb{T}^S$.*
- (d) *I is a real 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^p.*
- (e) *For every finite subset $F \subseteq I$ there is an operator $x \in S^p$ with real matrix coefficients, whose support S contains F, such that $\left\|\sum \epsilon_q x_q \mathrm{e}_q\right\|_p$ does not depend on the real choice of signs $\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^S$.*
- (f) *Every closed walk $P \sim (\alpha,\beta)$ of length $2s \leqslant 2k$ in I satisfies $\alpha = \beta$.*
- (g) *I does not contain any cycle of length $2s \leqslant 2k$ as a subgraph.*
- (h) *For each $v, w \in V$ there is at most one path in I of length $l \leqslant k$ that joins v to w.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$, $(b) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e)$ are trivial.

$(c) \Rightarrow (g)$. Suppose that I contains a cycle $P \sim (\gamma, \delta)$ of length $2s \leqslant 2k$: the corresponding set of couples is $F = \{q : \gamma_q + \delta_q = 1\}$. Let x be as in (c) and let $(\alpha, \beta) = (\gamma, \delta) + (k-s)(\mathrm{e}_q, \mathrm{e}_q)$ for some arbitrary $q \in F$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^S$. Consider $f(\epsilon) = \left\|\sum \epsilon_q x_q \mathrm{e}_q\right\|_p^p$ as a function on the group $\mathbb{T}^S$. Then the Fourier coefficient of f at the Steinhaus character $\epsilon^{\beta-\alpha}$ is, by Th. 6.5(a),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum\left\{n_{\varepsilon\zeta}\overline{x}^{\varepsilon}x^{\zeta} : (\varepsilon,\zeta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^S \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta - \varepsilon = \beta - \alpha\right\} \\ = \quad &\overline{x}^{\gamma}x^{\delta}\sum\left\{n_{\varepsilon\zeta}\overline{x}^{\varepsilon-\gamma}x^{\zeta-\delta} : (\varepsilon,\zeta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^S \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta - \delta = \varepsilon - \gamma\right\} . \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\beta - \alpha = \delta - \gamma$.) As this last sum has only positive terms and contains at least the term corresponding to (α, β), f cannot be constant.

$(e) \Rightarrow (g)$. Let $P \sim (\gamma, \delta)$, $F = \{q : \gamma_q + \delta_q = 1\}$ and (α, β) be as in the proof of the implication $(c) \Rightarrow (h)$. Let x be as in (e). Consider $f(\epsilon) = \left\|\sum \epsilon_q x_q \mathrm{e}_q\right\|_p^p$ as a function on the group $\{-1, 1\}^S$. Then the Fourier coefficient $\widehat{f}(\epsilon^{\beta-\alpha})$ of f at the Walsh character $\epsilon^{\beta-\alpha}$ is, by Th. 6.5(a),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum\left\{n_{\varepsilon\zeta}x^{\varepsilon+\zeta} : (\varepsilon,\zeta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^S \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta - \varepsilon \equiv \beta - \alpha \pmod 2\right\} \\ = \quad &x^{\gamma+\delta}\sum\left\{n_{\varepsilon\zeta}x^{\varepsilon+\zeta-\gamma-\delta} : (\varepsilon,\zeta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^S \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta - \varepsilon \equiv \delta - \gamma \pmod 2\right\} . \end{aligned}$$

As this last sum has only positive terms and contains at least the term corresponding to (α, β), f cannot be constant.

$(f) \Leftrightarrow (g)$. Apply Prop. 6.6(c).

$(g) \Leftrightarrow (h)$. If I contains a cycle $(v_0, \ldots, v_{2s-1})$, then I contains two distinct paths $(v_0, \ldots, v_s)$, $(v_0, v_{2s-1}, \ldots, v_s)$ of length s from v_0 to v_s. If I contains two distinct paths $(v_0, \ldots, v_l)$, $(v'_0, \ldots, v'_{l'})$ with $v_0 = v'_0$, $v_l = v'_{l'}$ and $l, l' \leqslant k$, let a be minimal such that $v_a \neq v'_a$, let $b \geqslant a$ be minimal such that $v_b \in \{v'_a, \ldots, v'_{l'}\}$ and let $d \geqslant a$ be minimal such that $v'_d = v_b$. Then $(v_{a-1}, \ldots, v_b, v'_{d-1}, \ldots, v'_a)$ is a cycle in I of length $2s \leqslant 2k$.

$(f) \Rightarrow (a)$ holds by Theorem 6.5(b): If each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathscr{P}_k^I$ satisfies $\alpha = \beta$, then Eq. (10) shows that $\Phi_I(\epsilon, z)$ as defined in Eq. (8) is constant in ϵ. ☐

Remark 9.2. The equivalence $(b) \Leftrightarrow (g)$ is a non commutative analogue to [13, Prop. 2.5(ii)].

Remark 9.3. In [14, Th. 2.7], the condition of Th. 9.1(f) is visualized in another way: a closed walk $P = (c_1, r_1, \ldots, c_s, r_s) \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is considered as the polygonal closed curve γ in $\mathbb{C}$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes whose successive vertices are $r_1 + \mathrm{i}c_1$, $r_1 + \mathrm{i}c_2$, $r_2 + \mathrm{i}c_2$, …, $r_{s-1} + \mathrm{i}c_s$, $r_s + \mathrm{i}c_s$, $r_s + \mathrm{i}c_1$ and again $r_1 + \mathrm{i}c_1$. Then $\alpha = \beta$ if and only if γ is homologous (and homotopic) to zero with respect to the set of its points.

Remark 9.4. One cannot drop the assumption that x has real matrix coefficients in Th. 9.1(e). Consider a 2×2 matrix x. Then $\operatorname{tr} x^*x = \sum |x_q|^2$ and $\det x^*x = |x_{00}x_{11} - x_{01}x_{10}|^2$. This shows that if $\Re(\overline{x_{00}x_{11}}x_{01}x_{10}) = 0$, e.g. $x = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \mathrm{i} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then the singular values of x do not depend on the real sign of the matrix coefficients of x, whereas $(\operatorname{col} 0, \operatorname{row} 0, \operatorname{col} 1, \operatorname{row} 1)$ is a cycle of length 4.

Remark 9.5. Theorem 9.1(h) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is the isometric counterpart to [9, Th. 3.1]. The following combinatorial problem arises naturally: if I is such that the number of trails in I of length k between two given vertices is uniformly bounded, is it so that I is the union of a finite number of sets I_j such that there is at most one path of length at most k in I_j between two given vertices ? In the simplest case, $k = 2$, Ilijas Farah and Dominique Lecomte [5] have deduced from [22] that it is not so.

10 Metric unconditional approximation property for S^p_I

Let R, C be two copies of $\mathbb{N}$. It is well known that no S^p has an unconditional basis or just a local unconditional structure (see [21, § 4]). S^1 and S^∞ cannot even be embedded in a space with unconditional basis. If $1 < p < \infty$, then S^p has the unconditional finite dimensional decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^p_{\{(r,c): r \leqslant n, c = n\}} \oplus S^p_{\{(r,c): r = n+1, c \leqslant n\}}$$

because the triangular projection associated to the idempotent Schur multiplier $(\chi_{r \leqslant c})$ is bounded on S^p.

Definition 10.1. Let X be a separable Banach space and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{T}$ (vs. $\mathbb{S} = \{-1, 1\}$).

(i) A sequence (T_k) of operators on X is an *approximating sequence* if each T_k has finite rank and $\|T_k x - x\| \to 0$ for every $x \in X$. An approximating sequence of commuting projections is a *finite-dimensional decomposition.*

(ii) ([17]) The *difference sequence* (ΔT_k) of (T_k) is given by $\Delta T_1 = T_1$ and $\Delta T_k = T_k - T_{k-1}$ for $k \geqslant 2$. X has the *unconditional approximation property* (*uap*) if there is an approximating sequence (T_k) such that for some D

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k \Delta T_k \right\| \leqslant D \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and } \epsilon_k \in \mathbb{S} \; . \tag{13}$$

The *complex* (*vs. real*) *unconditional constant* of (T_k) is the least such D.

(iii) ([4, § 3], [7, § 8]) X has the *complex* (*vs. real*) *metric unconditional approximation property* (*umap*) if, for every $\delta > 0$, it has an approximating sequence with complex (vs. real) unconditional constant $1 + \delta$. By [4, Th. 3.8] and [7, Lemma 8.1], this is the case if and only if there is an approximating sequence (T_k) such that

$$\sup_{\epsilon\in\mathbb{S}}\|T_k+\epsilon(\mathrm{Id}-T_k)\|\longrightarrow 1\ . \tag{14}$$

X has (*umap*) if and only if, for every given $\delta>0$, X is isometric to a 1-complemented subspace of a space with a $(1+\delta)$-unconditional finite-dimensional decomposition [6, Cor. IV.4]. If X has (*umap*), then, for any given $\delta>0$, X is isometric to a subspace of a space with a $(1+\delta)$-unconditional basis.

Example 10.2. The simplest example is the subspace in S^p of operators with an upper triangular matrix. In fact, if $I\subseteq R\times C$ is such that all columns $I\cap R\times\{c\}$ (*vs.* all rows $I\cap\{r\}\times C$) are finite, then S^p_I admits a 1-unconditional finite-dimensional decomposition in the corresponding finitely supported idempotent Schur multipliers $\chi_{I\cap R\times\{c\}}$ (*vs.* $\chi_{I\cap\{r\}\times C}$).

Our results on complete 1-unconditional basic sequences yield the following theorem.

Theorem 10.3. *Let* $1\leqslant p\leqslant\infty$. *Let* $R_r\subset R$, $r\in\mathbb{N}$, *be pairwise disjoint and finite. Let* $C_c\subset C$, $c\in\mathbb{N}$, *be pairwise disjoint and finite. Let* $J\subset\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ *and* $I=\bigcup_{(r,c)\in J}R_r\times C_c$. *Then the sequence of Schur multipliers* $(\chi_{R_r\times C_c})_{(r,c)\in J}$ *forms a complex* 1*-unconditional finite-dimensional decomposition for* S^p_I *if and only if* J *is a forest or* p *is an even integer and* J *contains no cycle of length* $4,6,\dots,p$.

We may always suppose that approximating sequences on spaces S^p_I are associated to Schur multipliers. More precisely, we have

Proposition 10.4. *Let* $1\leqslant p\leqslant\infty$ *and* $I\subseteq R\times C$. *Let* (T_n) *be an approximating sequence on* S^p_I. *Then there is a sequence of Schur multipliers* (φ_n) *with finite support such that* (M_{φ_n}) *is an approximating sequence on* S^p_I. *If* (T_n) *satisfies (14), then so does* (M_{φ_n}).

Proof. Let $\delta_n>0$ be such that $\delta_n\to0$. As T_n has finite rank, there is a finite $R_n\times C_n\subset R\times C$ such that the projection P_n of S^p_I onto $S^p_{I\cap R_n\times C_n}$ satisfies $\|P_nT_n-T_n\|<\delta_n$. Let $U_n=P_nT_n$. Then $\|U_n+\epsilon(\mathrm{Id}-U_n)\|<\|T_n+\epsilon(\mathrm{Id}-T_n)\|+2\delta_n$ for all $\epsilon\in\mathbb{S}$. For each $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}^{C_n}$ (*vs.* $\eta\in\mathbb{T}^{R_n}$), let D_ζ (*vs.* D_η) be the diagonal operator on $\ell^2_{C_n}$ (*vs.* $\ell^2_{R_n}$) of multiplication by ζ (*vs.* η): D_ζ and D_η are unitary, so that $x\mapsto D_\eta xD_\zeta$ is an isometric operation on $S^p_{I\cap R_n\times C_n}$. Let V_n be the operator defined by

$$V_n(x)=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{R_n}}\mathrm{d}\eta\int_{\mathbb{T}^{C_n}}\mathrm{d}\zeta\,D^*_\eta U_n(D_\eta xD_\zeta)D^*_\zeta\ .$$

Then, as $U_n y \to y$ uniformly on compact sets,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V_n x - x\| &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^{R_n}} \mathrm{d}\eta \int_{\mathbb{T}^{C_n}} \mathrm{d}\zeta\, D_\eta^* \big(U_n(D_\eta x D_\zeta) - D_\eta x D_\zeta \big) D_\zeta^* \right\| \longrightarrow 0 \ , \\
\|V_n x + \epsilon(x - V_n x)\| &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^{R_n}} \mathrm{d}\eta \int_{\mathbb{T}^{C_n}} \mathrm{d}\zeta\, D_\eta^* \big((U_n + \epsilon(\mathrm{Id} - U_n))(D_\eta x D_\zeta) \big) D_\zeta^* \right\| \\
&\leqslant \|U_n + \epsilon(\mathrm{Id} - U_n)\| \, \|x\| \\
&\leqslant \big(\|T_n + \epsilon(\mathrm{Id} - T_n)\| + 2\delta_n \big) \|x\| \ .
\end{aligned}$$

A computation shows that V_n is the operator associated to the Schur multiplier $\varphi_n = \big(\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{e}_q^* U_n(\mathrm{e}_q)\big)_{q \in I}$ whose support is contained in $I \cap R_n \times C_n$. ☐

This proposition shows together with Cor. 2.1 the following results.

Corollary 10.5. *Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $I \subseteq R \times C$.*

- *(a) If S_I^p has (umap), then some sequence of Schur multipliers realizes it.*
- *(b) Let $J \subset I$. If S_I^p has (umap), then so does S_J^p.*
- *(c) If S_I^∞ has (umap), then so does S_I^p.*

The arguments of [13, § 6.2] show *mutatis mutandis*

Theorem 10.6. *Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, $I \subseteq R \times C$ and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{T}$ (vs. $\mathbb{S} = \{-1, 1\}$). Consider the following properties.*

- *(a) S_I^p has the property of τ-unconditionality with τ the topology of pointwise convergence of matrix coefficients: for every $x \in S_I^p$ and every τ-null sequence (y_n)*

$$\max_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{S}} \|x + \epsilon y_n\|_p - \min_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{S}} \|x + \epsilon y_n\|_p \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

- *(b) I enjoys the property $(\mathscr{U})$ of matrix block unconditionality in S^p: for each $\delta > 0$ and finite $F \subset I$, there is a finite $G \subset I$ such that*

$$\forall\, x \in B_{S_F^p} \ \forall\, y \in B_{S_{I \setminus G}^p} \quad \max_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{S}} \|x + \epsilon y\|_p - \min_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{S}} \|x + \epsilon y\|_p < \delta \ .$$

- *(c) S_I^p has (umap).*

Then (c) ⇒ (a) ⇔ (b). If $1 < p < \infty$, then (b) ⇔ (c). If $p = 1$, S_I^1 has (umap) if and only if S_I^1 has (uap) and I enjoys $(\mathscr{U})$ in S^1.

The case $p = \infty$ is extreme in the sense that the following properties are equivalent for S_I^∞: to be a dual space, to be reflexive, to have a finite cotype, not to contain c_0, because they are equivalent for I not to contain any sequence (r_n, c_n) with (r_n) and (c_n) injective, that is for I to be contained in the union of a finite set of lines and a finite set of columns, so that S_I^∞ is isomorphic to ℓ_I^2.

Let us now introduce the asymptotic property on I that reflects the combinatorics imposed by (*umap*).

Definition 10.7. Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ and $k \geqslant 1$.

(a) I enjoys property $\mathscr{J}_k$ if for every path $P = (c_0, r_0, \ldots, c_j, r_j)$ of odd length $2j+1 \leqslant k$ in I there is a finite set $R' \times C'$ such that P cannot be completed with edges in $I \setminus R' \times C'$ to a cycle of length $2s \in \{4j+2, \ldots, 2k\}$.

(b) The *asymptotic distance* $d_\infty(r, c)$ of $r \in R$ and $c \in C$ in I is the supremum, over all finite rectangle sets $R' \times C'$, of the distance from r to c in $I \setminus R' \times C'$.

The asymptotic distance takes its values in $\{1, 3, 5, \ldots, \infty\}$. Note that $\mathscr{J}_k \Rightarrow \mathscr{J}_{k-1}$ and that $\mathscr{J}_1$ is void. This implication is strict: let R, C be two copies of $\mathbb{N}$ and, given $j \geqslant 1$, consider the union I_j of all paths $(\mathrm{col}\,0, \mathrm{row}\,nj+1, \mathrm{col}\,nj+1, \ldots, \mathrm{row}\,nj+j, \mathrm{col}\,nj+j, \mathrm{row}\,0)$ of length $2j+1$. Then I_j contains no cycle of length $2s \in \{4, \ldots, 4j\}$ and therefore enjoys $\mathscr{J}_{2j}$, but fails $\mathscr{J}_{2j+1}$; $I_j \cup \{(\mathrm{row}\,0, \mathrm{col}\,0)\}$ contains no cycle of length $2s \in \{4, \ldots, 2j\}$ and thus enjoys $\mathscr{J}_j$, but fails $\mathscr{J}_{j+1}$. In particular, the properties $\mathscr{J}_k$, $k \geqslant 2$, are not stable under union with a singleton.

Let us now explicit the relationship between $\mathscr{J}_k$ and d_∞.

Proposition 10.8. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ and $k \geqslant 1$.*

(a) *I enjoys $\mathscr{J}_k$ if and only if any two vertices $r \in R$ and $c \in C$ at distance $2j+1 \leqslant k$ satisfy $d_\infty(r, c) \geqslant 2k - 2j + 1$.*

(b) *If $d_\infty(r, c) \geqslant 2k+1$ for all $(r, c) \in R \times C$, then I enjoys $\mathscr{J}_k$.*

(c) *If $d_\infty(r, c) \leqslant k$ for some $(r, c) \in R \times C$, then I fails $\mathscr{J}_k$.*

(d) *I enjoys $\mathscr{J}_k$ for every k if and only if $d_\infty(r, c) = \infty$ for every $(r, c) \in R \times C$.*

Proof. (a) is but a reformulation of the definition of $\mathscr{J}_k$ and implies (b). (d) is a consequence of (b) and (c).

(c). If $d_\infty(r, c) \leqslant k$, then there is $0 \leqslant j \leqslant (k-1)/2$ such that there are infinitely many paths of length $2j+1$ from c to r: there is a path $(c, r_1, c_1, \ldots, r_j, c_j, r)$ that can be completed with edges outside any given finite set to a cycle of length $4j+2 \leqslant 2k$. □

Theorem 10.9. *Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. If p is an even integer then S^p_I has complex or real* (*umap*) *if and only if I enjoys $\mathscr{J}_{p/2}$. If $p = \infty$ or if p is not an even integer, then S^p_I has real* (*umap*) *only if I enjoys $\mathscr{J}_k$ for every k.*

Proof. Suppose that I enjoys ($\mathscr{U}$) in S^p and fails $\mathscr{J}_k$. Then, for some $s \leqslant k$, I contains a sequence of cycles $(c_0, r_0, \ldots, c_{j-1}, r_{j-1}, c^n_j, r^n_j, \ldots, c^n_{s-1}, r^n_{s-1})$ with the property that $\|x-y\|_p \leqslant (1+1/n)\|x+y\|_p$ for all x with support in $\{(r_0, c_0), (r_0, c_1), \ldots, (r_{j-2}, c_{j-1}), (r_{j-1}, c_{j-1})\}$ and all y with support in $\{(r_{j-1}, c^n_j), (r^n_j, c^n_j), \ldots, (r^n_{s-1}, c^n_{s-1}), (r^n_{s-1}, c_0)\}$. With the notation of Section 7, this amounts to stating that the multiplier on $I = \{(i, i), (i, i+1)\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\epsilon_{rc} = 1$ if $r, c \in \{0, \ldots, j-1\}$ and $\epsilon_{rc} = -1$ otherwise actually is an isometry on

S^p_I. As $\overline{\epsilon_{00}}\epsilon_{01}\dots\overline{\epsilon_{s-1\,s-1}}\epsilon_{s-1\,0} = (-1)^{2s-2j+1} = -1$, this implies by Prop. 7.1(e) that $p/2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$.

Suppose that I enjoys $\mathscr{J}_k$. We claim that for every finite $F \subseteq I$ there is a finite $G \subseteq I$ such that every closed walk $P \sim (\alpha, \beta)$ of length $2k$ in I satisfies $\sum_{q \in I\setminus G} \beta_q - \alpha_q = 0$. That is, given a closed walk $(v_0, \dots, v_{2k-1})$ and $0 = a_0 < b_0 < \dots < a_m < b_m < a_{m+1} = 2k$ such that $v_{a_i}, \dots, v_{b_i-1} \in I \setminus G$ and $v_{b_i}, \dots, v_{a_{i+1}-1} \in F$,

$$\big\{i \in \{0, \dots, m\} : a_i, b_i \text{ even}\big\} = \big\{i \in \{0, \dots, m\} : a_i, b_i \text{ odd}\big\} .$$

Suppose that this is not true: then there is an $s \leqslant k$, there are $0 = a_0 < b_0 < \dots < a_m < b_m < 2s$ and there are cycles $(v^n_{a_0}, \dots, v^n_{b_0-1}, v_{b_0}, \dots, v_{a_1-1}, \dots, v^n_{a_m}, \dots, v^n_{b_m-1}, v_{b_m}, \dots, v_{2s-1})$ such that the $(v^n_i)_{n\geqslant 0}$ are injective sequences of vertices and $b_i - a_i$ is even for at least one index i: let us suppose so for $i = 0$. If $b_0 - a_0 \geqslant s-1$, consider the path $P = (v_{b_0}, \dots, v_{a_0-1}, v^0_{a_0}, \dots, v^0_{b_m-1}, v_{b_m}, \dots, v_{2s-1})$ of odd length $2s-1-(b_0-a_0)$; if $b_0 - a_0 \leqslant s-1$, consider the path $P = (v_{2s-1}, v^0_{a_0}, \dots, v^0_{b_0-1}, v_{b_0})$ of odd length $b_0 - a_0 + 1$. Then P can be completed with vertices outside any given finite set to a cycle of length at most $2s$ because $(v_{2s-1}, v^n_{a_0}, \dots, v^n_{b_0-1}, v_{b_0})$ is a path of length $b_0 - a_0 + 1$ in I for every n. This proves that I fails $\mathscr{J}_s$.

The claim shows that I enjoys $(\mathscr{U})$ in S^p for $p = 2k$. In fact, if $\tilde\epsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{F\cup(I\setminus G)}$ is defined by $\tilde\epsilon_q = 1$ for $q \in F$ and $\tilde\epsilon_q = \epsilon \in \mathbb{T}$ for $q \in I \setminus G$, then, with the notation of Th. 6.5,

$$\Phi_{F\cup(I\setminus G)}(\tilde\epsilon, a) = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\mathscr{P}_k^{F\cup(I\setminus G)}} n_{\alpha\beta}\,\epsilon^{\sum_{q\in I\setminus G}\beta_q-\alpha_q}\,\overline{a}^\alpha a^\beta$$

does not depend on ϵ, so that $\|x + \epsilon y\|_{2k} = \|x + y\|_{2k}$ if $x \in S^{2k}_F$ and $y \in S^{2k}_{I\setminus G}$, and S^{2k}_I has complex ($umap$) by Th. 10.6(b) ⇒ (c). □

Remark 10.10. This theorem is a non commutative analogue to [13, Th. 7.5].

11 Examples

One of Varopoulos' motivations for the study of the projective tensor product $\ell_\infty \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} \ell_\infty$ are lacunary sets in a locally compact abelian group. Let Γ be a discrete abelian group and $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$. Let us say that Λ is *n-independent* if every element of Γ admits at most one representation as the sum of n elements of Λ, up to a permutation. Let $G = \hat\Gamma$, so that Γ is the group of characters on G. Then the computation presented in [13, Prop. 2.5(ii)] only in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ shows that Λ is a complex 1-unconditional basic sequence in $L^{2n}(G)$ if and only if Λ is n-independent. Furthermore Λ is a complex 1-unconditional basic sequence in $L^p(G)$, p not an even integer, or in $\mathscr{C}(G)$, if and only if Λ is n-independent for all n. Real 1-unconditional basic sequences may be characterized in a similar way: the property replacing n-independence is that every element of Γ admits at most one representation as the sum of n elements of Λ, up to a permutation and up to an even element. Consequently, if Γ contains no element of order 2, then real 1-unconditional basic sequences in $L^p(G)$ and in $\mathscr{C}(G)$ are also complex 1-unconditional.

Results on lacunary sets in Γ transfer to lacunary matrices in the following way.

Proposition 11.1. *Let $R, C \subseteq \Gamma$ be such that each element of Γ admits at most one representation as the sum of an element of R with an element of C, so that $\Lambda \subseteq R + C$ determines uniquely the set $I \subseteq R \times C$ of all (r, c) such that $r + c \in \Lambda$.*

- (a) *If Λ is n-independent, then I is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^{2n}.*
- (b) *The converse holds if furthermore each element of Γ admits at most one representation as a sum of n elements of R and n elements of C, up to a permutation of the elements of R and a permutation of the elements of C.*

Proof. (a). If Λ is n-independent, consider a closed walk $P = (c_1, r_1, \ldots, c_n, r_n)$ in I with $P \sim (\alpha, \beta)$; as $(r_1+c_1)+\cdots+(r_n+c_n) = (r_1+c_2)+\cdots+(r_{n-1}+c_n)+(r_n+c_1)$, $(r_1+c_1, \ldots, r_n+c_n)$ is a permutation of $(r_1+c_2, \ldots, r_{n-1}+c_n, r_n+c_1)$. But then, by the hypothesis on R and C, $\big((r_1, c_1), \ldots, (r_n, c_n)\big)$ is a permutation of $\big((r_1, c_2), \ldots, (r_{n-1}, c_n), (r_n, c_1)\big)$, so that $\alpha = \beta$: I is a complex completely 1-unconditional basic sequence by Th. 9.1$(f) \Rightarrow (a)$.

(b). If Λ is not n-independent, then there are two $n$uples $(r_1 + c_1, \ldots, r_n + c_n)$, $(r'_1 + c'_1, \ldots, r'_n + c'_n) \in I^n$ that are not a permutation of each other such that $(r_1 + c_1) + \cdots + (r_n + c_n) = (r'_1 + c'_1) + \cdots + (r'_n + c'_n)$, so that if we set $\alpha_q = \#\{i : (r_i, c_i) = q\}$ and $\beta_q = \#\{i : (r'_i, c'_i) = q\}$ for each $q \in R \times C$, then $\alpha \neq \beta$. But, by the hypothesis on R and C, $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ is a permutation of $(r'_1, \ldots, r'_n)$ and $(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ is a permutation of $(c'_1, \ldots, c'_n)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall\, r \ \sum\nolimits_c \alpha_{rc} &= \#\{i : r_i = r\} = \sum\nolimits_c \beta_{rc}\ , \\ \forall\, c \ \sum\nolimits_r \alpha_{rc} &= \#\{i : c_i = c\} = \sum\nolimits_r \beta_{rc}\ , \end{aligned}$$

and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{P}_s^I$. Then (α, β) is a sum of row and column disjoint closed walk relations (α^j, β^j) such that $\alpha^j \neq \beta^j$ for at least one j: I is not a real 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^{2n} by Th. 9.1$(d) \Rightarrow (f)$. □

Harcharras [8] used Peller's discovery [18] of the link between Fourier and Hankel Schur multipliers to produce unconditional basic sequences in S^p that are unions of antidiagonals in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. We have in our context the rather disappointing

Proposition 11.2. *Let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $I = \{(r, c) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : r + c \in \Lambda\}$.*

- (a) *Λ is 2-independent if and only if I is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^4.*

(*b*) *If* Λ *contains a set* $\{i,j,k\}$ *with three elements such that* $i \leqslant j+k$, $j \leqslant i+k$ *and* $k \leqslant i+j$, *then* I *is a* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in* S^p *only if* $p \in \{2,4\}$.

Proof. (*a*). Λ is 2-independent if and only if $i+l = j+k$ with $i,j,k,l \in \Lambda$ has only trivial solutions. If this is the case, consider a closed walk $P = (c_1, r_1, c_2, r_2)$ in I. As $(r_1+c_1)+(r_2+c_2) = (r_1+c_2)+(r_2+c_1)$, we have $c_1 = c_2$ or $r_1 = r_2$, so that P is not a cycle. Conversely, if Λ contains a solution to $i+l = j+k$ with $i < j \leqslant k < l$, then I contains the cycle $(\operatorname{col} 0, \operatorname{row} i, \operatorname{col} k-i, \operatorname{row} j)$.

(*b*). We may suppose that $k > i,j$. Consider the cycle $(\operatorname{col} 0, \operatorname{row} i, \operatorname{col} k-i, \operatorname{row} i+j-k, \operatorname{col} k-j, \operatorname{row} j)$. □

Look up [2, Def. I.3.1] for the definition of a Steiner system and [27, Def. 1.3.1] for the definition of a generalized polygon.

Proposition 11.3. *Let* $2 \leqslant n \leqslant m$, $I \subseteq R \times C$ *with* $\#C = n$ *and* $\#R = m$, *and* $e = \#I$.

(*a*) *If* I *is a* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in* S^4, *then*

$$n \geqslant 1 + \Big(\frac{e}{m} - 1\Big) + \Big(\frac{e}{m} - 1\Big)\Big(\frac{e}{n} - 1\Big) ,$$

that is $e^2 - me - mn(n-1) \leqslant 0$. *Equality holds if and only if* I *is the incidence graph of a Steiner system* $S(2, e/m; n)$ *on* n *points and* m *blocks.*

(*b*) *If* I *is a* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in* S^6, *then*

$$n \geqslant 1 + \Big(\frac{e}{m} - 1\Big) + \Big(\frac{e}{m} - 1\Big)\Big(\frac{e}{n} - 1\Big) + \Big(\frac{e}{m} - 1\Big)^2\Big(\frac{e}{n} - 1\Big) ,$$

that is $e^3 - (m+n)e^2 + 2mne - m^2n^2 \leqslant 0$. *Equality holds if and only if* I *is the incidence graph of the quadrangle* (*the cycle of length* 8) *or of a generalized quadrangle with* n *points and* m *lines.*

(*c*) *If* I *is a* 1*-unconditional basic sequence in* S^{2k} *with* $k \geqslant 1$ *an integer, then*

$$n \geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{k} \Big(\frac{e}{m} - 1\Big)^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil} \Big(\frac{e}{n} - 1\Big)^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} . \tag{15}$$

Equality holds if I *is the incidence graph of the* $(k+1)$*-gon* (*the cycle of length* $2k+2$) *or of a generalized* $(k+1)$*-gon with* n *points and* m *lines.*

Proof. By Theorem 9.1(*b*) ⇒ (*g*), I is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^{2k}, with $k \geqslant 1$ an integer, if and only if I is a graph of girth $2k+2$ in the sense of [10]. Therefore (*a*) and (*b*) are shown in [15, Prop. 4, Th. 8, Rem. 10]. Inequality (15) is [10, Eq. (1)] and the sufficient condition for equality follows from [27, Lemma 1.5.4]. □

Consult [2, Tables A1.1, A5.1] for examples of Steiner systems and [27, Table 2.1] for examples of generalized polygons. In both cases, the corresponding incidence graph is biregular: every vertex in R has equal degree $s+1$ and every vertex in C has equal degree $t+1$. Arbitrarily large generalized $(k+1)$-gons exist only if $2k \in \{4,6,10,14\}$ ([27, Lemma 1.7.1]); for $2k \in \{6,10,14\}$, it follows from [27, Lemma 1.5.4] that

$$n = (s+1)\frac{(st)^{(k+1)/2}-1}{st-1}\ ,\ m = (t+1)\frac{(st)^{(k+1)/2}-1}{st-1}\ .$$

Remark 11.4. Let $I \subseteq R \times C$ with $\#C = \#R = n$. Inequality (15) shows that if I is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in S^{2k}, then $\#I \leqslant n^{1+1/k} + (s-1)n/s$. If $p \notin \{4,6,10\}$, the existence of 1-unconditional basic sequences in S^{2k} such that $\#I \succcurlyeq n^{1+1/k}$ is in fact an important open problem in Graph Theory.

References

[1] W. D. Banks and A. Harcharras, *On the norm of an idempotent Schur multiplier on the Schatten class*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 2121–2125.

[2] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design theory. Two volumes*, Cambridge University Press, second ed., 1999.

[3] D. I. Cartwright, R. B. Howlett and J. R. McMullen, *Extreme values for the Sidon constant*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 531–537.

[4] P. G. Casazza and N. J. Kalton, *Notes on approximation properties in separable Banach spaces*, in: Geometry of Banach spaces (Strobl, 1989), P. F. X. Müller and W. Schachermayer (eds.), London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes 158, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991, 49–63.

[5] I. Farah and D. Lecomte, *The R-C problem*, manuscript (2003).

[6] G. Godefroy and N. J. Kalton, *Approximating sequences and bidual projections*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 48 (1997), 179–202.

[7] G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton and P. D. Saphar, *Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 104 (1993), 13–59.

[8] A. Harcharras, *Fourier analysis, Schur multipliers on S^p and non-commutative $\Lambda(p)$-sets*, Studia Math. 137 (1999), 203–260.

[9] A. Harcharras, S. Neuwirth and K. Oleszkiewicz, *Lacunary matrices*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), 1675–1689.

[10] S. Hoory, *The size of bipartite graphs with a given girth*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 86 (2002), 215–220.

[11] D. Li, *Complex unconditional metric approximation property for* $C_\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$ *spaces*, Studia Math. 121 (1996), 231–247.

[12] L. Livshits, *A note on* 0-1 *Schur multipliers*, Linear Algebra Appl. 222 (1995), 15–22.

[13] S. Neuwirth, *Metric unconditionality and Fourier analysis*, Studia Math. 131 (1998), 19–62.

[14] ———, *Multiplicateurs et analyse fonctionnelle*, PhD thesis, Université Paris 6, 1999.

[15] ———, *The size of bipartite graphs with girth eight*, oai:arXiv.org:math/0102210 (2001).

[16] V. I. Paulsen, S. C. Power and R. R. Smith, *Schur products and matrix completions*, J. Funct. Anal. 85 (1989), 151–178.

[17] A. Pełczyński and P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces with finite dimensional expansions of identity and universal bases of finite dimensional subspaces*, Studia Math. 40 (1971), 91–108.

[18] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators of class* S_p *and their applications* (*rational approximation, gaussian processes, the problem of majorizing operators*), Math. USSR–Sb. 41 (1982), 443–479.

[19] G. Pisier, *Non-commutative vector valued* L_p*-spaces and completely* p*-summing maps*, Astérisque 247 (1998).

[20] ———, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, Springer, second ed., 2001.

[21] G. Pisier and Q. Xu, *Non-commutative* L^p*-spaces*, in: Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss (eds.), North-Holland, 2003, 1459–1517.

[22] V. Rödl, *On a packing and covering problem*, European J. Combin. 6 (1985), 69–78.

[23] W. Rudin, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, J. Math. Mech. 9 (1960), 203–228.

[24] ———, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, 1962.

[25] C. Schütt, *Unconditionality in tensor products*, Israel J. Math. 31 (1978), 209–216.

[26] J. A. Seigner, *Rademacher variables in connection with complex scalars*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 66 (1997), 329–336.

[27] H. van Maldeghem, *Generalized polygons*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1998.

[28] N. Varopoulos, *Tensor algebras and harmonic analysis*, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 51–112.

[29] ——, *Some combinatorial problems in harmonic analysis.* Lecture notes from a course at the summer school in harmonic analysis, University of Warwick, 1968.

[30] ——, *Tensor algebras over discrete spaces*, J. Functional Analysis 3 (1969), 321–335.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques
Université de Franche-Comté
25030 Besançon cedex, France
neuwirth@math.univ-fcomte.fr

Key words: Schatten-von-Neumann class, 1-unconditionality, V-Sidon set, cycle in a graph, metric unconditional approximation property.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B10, 46B15, 46B04, 43A46, 05C38, 46B28.